FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 14 2017

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT BY: NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION JAMES N. H. Clerk Deputy Clerk

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

V.

I: 17-CV-4566

FILED UNDER SEAL

ONTROLLING MULTIPLE
COMPUTER BOTNETS
THEREBY INJURING
MICROSOFT AND ITS
CUSTOMERS

Defendants.

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MICROSOFT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS RE: MICROSOFT'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MICROSOFT'S APPLICATION FOR AN EMERGENCY EX PARTE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S.D.C. - Atlanta

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

JAMES N. HATTEN, Clerk

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

V.

JOHN DOES 1-51,
CONTROLLING MULTIPLE
COMPUTER BOTNETS
THEREBY INJURING
MICROSOFT AND ITS
CUSTOMERS

Defendants.

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MICROSOFT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS RE: MICROSOFT'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MICROSOFT'S APPLICATION FOR AN EMERGENCY EX PARTE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7 and Local Civil Rule 7.1(D), Microsoft hereby moves for leave to exceed the page limits for its Brief In Support Of Microsoft's Application For An Emergency *Ex Parte* Temporary Restraining Order And Order To Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction.

Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, Microsoft is filing an Application For An Emergency Ex Parte Temporary Restraining Order And

Order To Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction (the "TRO Application").

Microsoft's brief in support of the TRO Application is 31 pages.

Under Local Rule 7.1(D), briefs are generally limited to 25 pages. Because of the complexity of the issue presented in this case, however, Microsoft cannot fully explain the factual and legal bases for its TRO Application within the 25-page limit. Accordingly, Microsoft respectfully requests that this Court grant leave to exceed the page limits imposed by Local Civil Rule 7.1(D). Microsoft is filing this Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limits contemporaneously with the filing of their case-initiating documents and TRO Application because of the urgent nature of these proceedings, the need for emergency relief, and the importance of maintaining confidentiality regarding the relief Microsoft requests from the Court.

The Court may, in its discretion, grant leave to a party to exceed the page limit set forth in Local Civil Rule 7.1(D) and consider the party's brief in its entirety. Here, because of the substantial public interest involved, the nature of the relief requested, and the complexity of Defendants' unlawful conduct, enlargement of the page limitation is critical to permitting Microsoft a full opportunity to describe the extensive technical factual predicate for its TRO Application.

Microsoft is submitting extensive evidence in support of its TRO Application that must be set forth in detail in the supporting brief. In particular, Microsoft is submitting detailed technical declarations and other evidence related to the following: (1) the tactics used by Defendants for cybercriminal operations; (2) the complex methodology for infecting and remotely interfering with the victim's computers; (3) the harmful effects of Defendants' behavior on Microsoft, its customers, and the general public; and (4) the irreparable harm suffered by Microsoft and its customers as a result of Defendants' actions. In order to fully explain the significance of this evidence, Microsoft requires more than 25 pages of briefing.

Accordingly, given the technical issues presented in this case and the *ex* parte nature of the TRO Application, Microsoft respectfully requests relief from Local Civil Rule 7.1(D)'s page limitation so that Microsoft can provide the Court with the information it needs to rule on the merits of the TRO Application.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, Microsoft requests leave to exceed the page limits set forth in Local Civil Rule 7.1(D) and asks that the Court consider Microsoft's brief in support of its TRO Application in its entirety.

Dated: November 14, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Donald M. Houser (GA No. 157238)

Andrew J. Tuck (GA No. 402306)

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, GA 30309

Tel.: (404) 881-7000

Fax: (404) 881-7777

donald.houser@alston.com andy.tuck@alston.com

Michael Zweiback (pro hac vice application pending) Erin Coleman (pro hac vice application pending)

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

333 S. Hope Street, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

Tel.: (213) 576-1000

Fax: (213) 576-1100

michael.zweiback@alston.com

erin.coleman@alston.com

Richard Domingues Boscovich (pro hac vice application pending)

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052

Tel.: (425) 704-0867

Fax: (425) 936-7329

rbosco@microsoft.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to L.R. 7.1(D), N.D. Ga., counsel for Plaintiff hereby certifies that this Brief has been prepared with one of the font and point selections approved by the Court in L.R. 5.1, N.D. Ga.

Dated: November 14, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Donald M. Houser (GA No. 157238)

Andrew J. Tuck (GA No. 402306)

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, GA 30309

Tel.: (404) 881-7000

Fax: (404) 881-7777

donald.houser@alston.com

andy.tuck@alston.com

Michael Zweiback (pro hac vice application pending)

Erin Coleman (*pro hac vice* application pending)

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

333 S. Hope Street, 16th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Tel.: (213) 576-1000

Fax: (213) 576-1100

michael.zweiback@alston.com

erin.coleman@alston.com

Richard Domingues Boscovich (pro hac vice application pending)

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052

Tel.: (425) 704-0867 Fax: (425) 936-7329 rbosco@microsoft.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.