

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) Case No. _____
)
DOES 1-6,)
)
 Defendants.)
)
)
)

FILED UNDER SEAL

**APPLICATION OF MICROSOFT CORPORATION FOR AN
EMERGENCY *EX PARTE* ORDER FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER,
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND RELATED RELIEF**

Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”), by counsel, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b) and (c) as well as the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 (“CFAA”); the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125); the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 101); and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)), respectfully moves the Court for an emergency *ex parte* temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and related relief as follows.

As discussed in Plaintiff’s brief in support of this Application, Plaintiff requests an order (1) directing Defendants, their service providers, and/or those acting in concert therewith to preserve evidence related to, and to cease from using

or permitting to be used the infrastructure identified in Microsoft's proposed TRO to operate the Lumma; (2) enjoining Defendants from further violations of the CFAA, Lanham Act, and RICO Act; and (3) directing Defendants to show cause why they should not be preliminarily enjoined from the violations of law described in Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Application of Microsoft Corporation for an Emergency *Ex Parte* Order.

Ex parte relief – and expedited consideration under Local Rule 7.2(b) – is necessary and essential to halt Defendants' unlawful activity. If Defendants are given prior notice, they will significantly impede, if not preclude, Plaintiff's ability to obtain effective relief against Defendants. This is because Defendants are highly sophisticated cybercriminals capable of quickly adapting the command and control infrastructure used to secretly establish themselves on a victim's network.

Plaintiffs' Application is based on: (i) this Application, (ii) Plaintiff's Brief in Support of this Application, (iii) the declaration of Derek Richardson and Exhibits thereto, and (iv) on such arguments and evidence as may be presented on this Application.

Dated: November 12, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/Joshua D. Curry
Joshua D. Curry

Joshua D. Curry (Georgia Bar No. 117378)
Jonathan D. Goins (Georgia Bar No. 738593)
Abigail Van Horn (Georgia Bar No. 253790)
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
600 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 4700
Atlanta, GA 30308
Tel: 404.348.8585
Fax: 404.467.8845
josh.curry@lewisbrisbois.com
jonathan.goins@lewisbrisbois.com
abigail.vanhorn@lewisbrisbois.com

Robert L. Uriarte (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
355 S. Grand Ave.
Ste. 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel: (213) 629-2020
Fax: (213) 612-2499
ruriarte@orrick.com

Of Counsel:

Richard Boscovich
MICROSOFT CORPORATION
Microsoft Redwest Building C
5600 148th Ave NE
Redmond, Washington 98052
Tel: (425) 704-0867
Fax: (425) 936-7329
rbosco@microsoft.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that the foregoing was prepared using 14-point Times new Roman font and accordingly complies with Local Rule 5.1. This certificate is given in compliance with Local Rule 7.1(D).

Dated: November 12, 2025

/s/Joshua D. Curry